

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

3 LUFKIN DIVISION

4 \* \* \*

5 LEWIS E. KNAPPER and

6 LINDA KNAPPER,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 vs. CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:08-CV-0084

9 SAFETY KLEEN SYSTEMS,

10 INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \* \* \*

13 Deposition of STEPHEN E. PETTY, Witness

14 herein, called by the Defendants United States

15 Steel, USX Corporation and Aristech Chemical

16 Corporation, for cross-examination pursuant to

17 the Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before me,

18 Beverly W. Dillman, a Notary Public in and for

19 the State of Ohio, at the offices of EES Group,

20 Inc., 6321 Ireland Place, Dublin, Ohio, on

21 Thursday, July 16, 2009, at 8:22 o'clock a.m.

22 \* \* \*

23  
24  
25  
EXHIBIT

6

1	EXAMINATIONS CONDUCTED	Page
2	BY MR. GRAY:.....	6
3	BY MR. RILEY:.....	239
4	BY MR. GRAY:.....	312
5	BY MR. BLACK:.....	316
6	BY MR. RILEY:.....	320

## EXHIBITS MARKED

8	Exhibit Name Description	Page
9	Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition and Subpoena Duces Tecum	6
10		
11	Exhibit 2 Outline of Opinions in Knapper Case	7
12	Exhibit 3 Transcript of Petty in Oakley case	18
13		
14	Exhibit 4 Rubek and Dahl paper	29
15		
16	Exhibit 5 Darrer paper	34
17		
18	Exhibit 6 Combustion of Crude Oil on Water, Fire Safety Journal	34
19	Exhibit 7 Hanna and Drivas paper	39
20	Exhibit 8 Fingas paper	62
21	Exhibit 9 Outline of Opinions in Oakley case	79
22		
23	Exhibit 10 Combustion of Oil on Water: An Experimental Program	108
24	Exhibit 11 Calculation of Evaporative Emissions from Multicomponent Liquid Spills	126
25	Exhibit 12 Guidelines for Use of Vapor Cloud Dispersion Models	126

1	Exhibit 13	Curriculum vitae of Stephen Petty	136
2	Exhibit 14	USEPA Risk Management Program	155
3		Guidance for Offsite Consequence Analysis	
4	Exhibit 15	Exhibit 8 to Drivas deposition	160
5	Exhibit 16	Nicas paper, Predicting Benzene Vapor Concentrations with a Near Field/Far Field Model	161
6			
7	Exhibit 17	John W. Spencer Summary Report	180
8	Exhibit 18	Outline of Opinions in Wilkinson case	189
9	Exhibit 19	Handwritten notes comparing Alpha numbers of Nicas and Petty	191
10	Exhibit 20	Wilkinson-Calcs spreadsheet for dermal exposure to Liquid Wrench	210
11	Exhibit 21	Peter J. Drivas comments on Petty's Benzene Evaporation Analysis	229
12	Exhibit 22	Barry S. Levy, M.D. report	230
13	Exhibit 23	Myron Mehlman, Ph.D. report	230
14	Exhibit 24	Four laboratory reports on Liquid Wrench	275
15			
16	Exhibit 25	MSDS, Liquid Wrench Super Penetrant (Aerosol) RSC 00096 and 00096-A	279
17	Exhibit 26	Letter to Rosemary Dorton dated 04-27-78, HB00039-40	290
18	Exhibit 27	MSDS, Liquid Wrench (aerosol) RSC 00109-110	295
19			
20	Exhibit 28	MSDS, Liquid Wrench No. 1 RSC 00094-95	295
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 of 75 millimeters, apparently.

2 And then Fingas looked at that issue  
3 as well and concluded that so long as you're not  
4 being crazy in your experimental design -- and by  
5 crazy I mean reasonably thin thicknesses -- it's  
6 not a primary factor.

7 Q. Next question. You carefully  
8 explained cyclohexane and benzene, and the fact  
9 that they are very similar ring structure. If  
10 you take a solvent that has cyclohexane and  
11 benzene in it, and you put it under gas  
12 chromatography, are you going to have an overlap?

13 A. Oh, man. I'm not an expert in GC.  
14 I ran them about 30 years ago.

15 Q. What prompts my question is the fact  
16 that you were telling me how similar they are in  
17 terms of the actual, you know, chemical ring  
18 structure.

19 A. Yeah. But here is -- I'm drawing  
20 because that's the only thing I know how to do.  
21 I'm drawing a straight line on the bottom and I'm  
22 drawing two peaks. And the question you asked is  
23 do these peaks overlap. Are they like this or do  
24 they have -- in other words, are they separated?  
25 Because what this plot is is some sort of signal

1 out of a GC using an emission signal.

2 Q. Let me make sure we understand each  
3 other. When I say overlap --

4 A. And this is time.

5 Q. -- I'm saying do they look the same  
6 on the gas chromatography? If someone is looking  
7 at cyclohexane under gas chromatography and  
8 benzene, are they going to have trouble  
9 determining which is which?

10 A. If you use GC/MS, gas chromatography  
11 with a mass spec, you'll be able to distinguish  
12 them.

13 Q. What about gas chromatography, say,  
14 in the late '70s, early '80s?

15 A. Yeah, I'm pretty sure you could.  
16 I'm not an expert on GC, I don't pretend to be.

17 Q. They would be pretty similar,  
18 though, wouldn't they?

19 A. We were using GC/MS. They have  
20 gotten a lot better with time and electronics.  
21 But the basic principle, which is to heat the  
22 material and run it through a tube, and the --  
23 the time at which it comes through this tube,  
24 then it spreads it out, spreads all the chemicals  
25 out, if you will, and then there is a detector



1 looking for emission patterns from each of these,  
2 and that basic technology is -- has been around  
3 since then.

4 And I know that because ASTM  
5 standard methods for measuring benzene in things  
6 recommended GC/MS, GC. And this was -- those  
7 were in the -- I want to say those were around  
8 certainly in the mid '70s.

9 Q. Okay. Let me ask you something  
10 about some of the other depositions in the case.  
11 In your analysis of Mr. Knapper, how long are you  
12 assuming he had it on his hands, Liquid Wrench?

13 MR. BLACK: Objection, form.

14 THE WITNESS: I would have to go to  
15 each of the scenarios.

16 BY MR. RILEY:

17 Q. Okay. So it's different in each  
18 scenario?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Is there an average that you  
21 assumed?

22 A. No. I used -- I used the -- from  
23 the interview information, I believe there were  
24 eight scenarios. It was a lot more work than I  
25 thought. So each of those eight scenarios have

1 different application times, and whatever he said  
2 they were is what we used.

3 Q. Why did you interview Mr. Knapper?

4 A. Because I had incomplete information  
5 from the depositions.

6 Q. Okay. Did the additional  
7 information from the interview assist you in  
8 formulating your opinions for the report?

9 A. Absolutely.

10 Q. What about Mr. Coleman's deposition,  
11 did you review that? He was Mr. Knapper's  
12 coworker.

13 A. I'm drawing a blank. Just let me  
14 check. If I received it, I read it and I  
15 summarized it.

16 Q. Well, let me see if I can refresh  
17 your memory. Mr. Coleman testified that he  
18 carried a rag and that Mr. Knapper carried a rag,  
19 and Mr. Coleman would always wipe his hands off  
20 when he got Liquid Wrench on him. And my  
21 question is: Did you reduce the amount of time  
22 that Liquid Wrench would be on Mr. Knapper's  
23 hands due to Mr. Coleman's testimony?

24 A. I usually have a good memory, and I  
25 don't remember Mr. Coleman. (Examining

1 documents.) I can tell you what I did. I mean,  
2 I used the information from the interview of Mr.  
3 Knapper; okay?

4 Q. (Nodding head up and down.)

5 A. I'm trying to see.

6 Q. Was there a certain static space  
7 that you assumed for Mr. Knapper, on average,  
8 that he would be away from the Liquid Wrench when  
9 he used it; in other words, 18 inches away from  
10 the Liquid Wrench, is that kind of the assumed  
11 average that you used?

12 A. I asked him in each case how far  
13 away he was, and I would use whatever he told me.  
14 So --

15 Q. Did you take into consideration Mr.  
16 Knapper's testimony about having put drops on  
17 various bolts and then walking away from it, and  
18 then reducing the amount of his exposure due to  
19 the fact that he would walk away from the Liquid  
20 Wrench to allow it to sink in?

21 A. I only -- the answer is yes in the  
22 sense that I asked him specifically, I am only  
23 interested in the time that you applied it, I  
24 just want to know the time you applied it, when  
25 you were in close proximity and how far away were